

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**CORAM: Shri Juino De Souza: State Information Commissioner**

**Appeal No.23/2019/SIC-II**

Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye  
H/No. 35/A, Ward No. II,  
Khorlim – Mapusa – Goa. 403 507

**..... Appellant**

**v/s**

1. Public Information Officer,  
The Dy. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Tech),  
O/o Registrar of Coop. Societies,  
Sahakar Sankul, Patto,  
Panaji Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority,  
Registrar of Coop. Societies,  
Govt. of Goa, Sahakar Sankul,  
Patto Plaza, Panaji Goa.

**..... Respondents**

**Relevant emerging dates:**

**Date of Hearing: 22-10-2019**

**Date of Decision: 22-10-2019**

**ORDER**

1. **Brief facts of the case** are that the Appellant vide an RTI application dated 30/07/2019 sought certain information under section 6(1) from the PIO, Office of Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Patto Plaza Panaji-Goa at six points.
  
2. The Appellant has enclosed photo copy of representation letter dated 15/05/2018 inwards on 16/05/2018 voicing grievance against a Society named Jana Utkarsh Urban Co-operative Society Ltd. and has sought to the Action taken Report on the said representation and certified copies of all noting sheets, correspondence letters made to the Chairman and Managing Director of the Jana Utkarsh Urban Co-operative Society Ltd. and to furnish up-to-date present status/progress report with regards to his said representation and the names and designations of officials who processed the representation and to furnish all information with regards to the implementation of section 4 (1) (a) and 4 (1) (b).

3. It is seen that the PIO vide reply No.43-2-2005/TS/RCS(Part III)/2212 dated 29/08/2018 informed the Appellant that with respect to point No.1 to 5 to visit the office of the PIO on any working day during office hours and collect the information on payment of requisite fees of Rs.46/-. With regards to point No.6, it was informed that the information is available on website.
4. Not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, the Appellant filed a First Appeal on 27/09/2018 and the First Appellate Authority (FAA) vide an Order dated 19/10/ 2018 directed the PIO to ensure to furnish the information in time and point wise in future and also directed the PIO to furnish information of the present status of appellant's petition as sought by him in point 4 of RTI application within 5 days.
5. It is seen that the Appellant has paid Rs.46/ and collected the information point No.1 to 5, however being aggrieved that the PIO has not furnished correct information at point no 4 is not furnished, despite the order of the FAA, thereafter has approached the Commission by way of a Second Appeal registered on 06/02/2019 and has prayed to direct the Respondent PIO to furnish information at point No.4 and for penalty and other such reliefs.
5. **HEARING:** This matter has come up before the Commission on four previous occasion and thus taken up for final disposal. During the hearing the Appellant is absent. Shri. Dhiraj Pendnekar, Audit Assistant, O/o RCS Panaji is present on behalf of PIO and FAA.
6. **SUBMISSION:** Shri. Dhiraj Pednekar submits that the Appellant has paid an amount of Rs. 46/- and collected information document from point 1 to 5 and regarding point No. 6 it was informed that the desired information is available on the website. He further submits that the Appellant had filed the First Appeal and that the First Appellate Authority has disposed off the First Appeal by directing the PIO to furnish information at point No.4 i.e. present status of the complaint and accordingly the PIO vide letter No. RCS/RTI/ 12/2018/3010 dated 25/10/2018 furnished the information at point No.4 which was forwarded to the Appellant by Registered A.D. ..3

7. Shri. Dhiraj Pednekar finally submits that all information has been provided and furnishes a copy of declaration signed by the Respondent PIO confirming the facts that information at point No.4 is furnished and as such request the Commission to disposed off the Appeal case.
8. **FINDINGS:** The Commission after hearing the submission of the representative for the PIO and perusing the material on record indeed find that all information has been furnished to the Appellant. The Appellant has collected information from point No.1 to 5 after payment of Rs.46/- and further regarding information at point No.6, it was informed that the same is available on the website and finally information at point No.4 was also furnished pursuant to the order of the FAA, vide letter dated 25/10/2018 sent to the Appellant by registered AD alongwith enclosures.

**As all information has been furnished, nothing further survives in the Appeal which accordingly stands disposed.**

All proceedings in Appeal case stands closed. Pronounced before the parties who are present at the conclusion of the hearing. Notify the parties concerned. Authenticated copies of the order be given free of cost.

**Sd/-  
(Juino De Souza)  
State Information Commissioner**